## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SKYWEST PILOTS ALPA ORGANIZING
COMMITTEE, et al.,

ORDER

Plaintiffs,

v.

SKYWEST AIRLINES, INC,

Defendant.

Now pending before the Court is defendant's motion to compel further responses to interrogatories and requests for production of documents. After carefully considering the papers filed by the parties, the Court concludes that oral argument is unnecessary, see Local Rule 7-1(b), and rules as follows:

1. Defendant Skywest Airline Inc.'s (Skywest) motion to compel Plaintiff Skywest Pilots ALPA Organizing Committee (ALPA) to provide a further response to interrogatories 3, 4, 5 and document requests 5, 6, and 7 is GRANTED in part. Skywest shall limit its request to the two airlines which Skywest believes have a relationship with ALPA that is most relevant. Skywest shall make its selection of the two airlines within 15 days of the date of this order. With respect to the airlines selected by Skywest, ALPA must respond to interrogatories 3, 4, and 5, and document requests 5, 6, and 7.

2. Defendant Skywest's motion to compel further response to interrogatory 13 is
GRANTED in part. ALPA must identify any litigation in which compensation or financial
support to ALPA was alleged to violate section 2, third and/or section 2, fourth of the
Railway Labor Act (RLA). In all other respects the motion to compel further response to this
interrogatory is DENIED.

- 3. Defendant Skywest's motion to compel further response to document request 30 is DENIED.
- 4. Defendant Skywest's motion to compel a Rule 30(b)(6) deponent is GRANTED in part. ALPA shall produce a deponent knowledgeable as to ALPA's funding relationships with the two airlines chosen by Skywest for response to the interrogatories and document requests. In all other respects this motion is DENIED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: August 1, 2008

